

“The generally tolerant attitudes of most Australians on most questions are often seriously strained by this issue.

“Australian governments and others put a great deal of energy and resources into trying to solve the problems of the 230,000 Aboriginal inhabitants of Australia; but there are plenty of Australians who believe this effort to be excessive and misdirected, and the situation of most Aborigines remains hapless.

“Yet on balance the Australian record of absorbing immigrants, some 4.6 million since the Second World War, is impressive.

“The ordinary Australian is a tolerant person.


“There is an easiness and openness in personal relationships which distinguishes this country.

“The desire to cut down tall poppies is a weakness in the Australian make-up.

“The philistine, iconoclastic, beer-swilling Aussie exists but the Australian does not have a monopoly of these qualities.

“Far too little recognition is accorded abroad to Australian cultural achievement.”

The High Commission went on to outline the potential political impact.



“The first 60 years are the worst” – a friendly birthday telegram sent to Australian Prime Minister Bob Hawke by British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher.

“The modern Australia is in truth very far removed from the one which sent its troops to fight alongside ours in two World Wars, very different too, from the country portrayed by expatriate Australian comedians or even by Crocodile Dundee.

“For many recent migrants the Australian connexion (sic) with Britain has no special meaning.

“Many young Australians, unlike their parents, have no knowledge of, and no natural predilection for, Britain.

“More and more we shall have to recognise Australia for what it really is: an independent state with a powerful sense of nationhood, an urbanized society with most of the problems of similar societies elsewhere, a country hesitantly adapting to its Asian environment, not wanting to weaken its traditional links with Britain, Europe and America but keen to establish with those traditional partners a modern political and commercial relationship which first the aspirations and sentiments of the Australia of today.”

Australian insights

The hundreds of bundles of documents give a rare insight into Australia-UK relations, particularly the decades-long campaign to secure an enhanced trade deal with the European Union.

While Australia has this year signalled a desire to ‘kick start’ a trade relationship with the United Kingdom post-Brexit, in 1990 the Foreign and Commonwealth Office noted, “Australia is a significant and growing investor in the United Kingdom, attracted by its advantages as a base for expansion into the Single European Market”.

Europe, and Australia’s long running battle to secure an agricultural trade deal, was the topic of discussion when the two Prime Ministers met face-to-face for the last time in office at the 75th anniversary commemorations of Gallipoli, in Turkey.



Minutes of the meeting hinted at frustrations that would boil to the surface years later in the EU referendum.

“Mr Hawke asked how the Prime Minister assessed the view of the other EC countries on the French and German proposals on political union. The Prime Minister said she thought they would mostly be in favour, although no-one seemed to have a clear idea what political union meant. She found it rather like boxing against a feather mattress.”

The High Commissioner to Australia was infuriated after protestors disrupted Margaret Thatcher’s visit to Australia in 1988, writing a stern dispatch after a particularly bad experience on the streets of Melbourne.

It said the visit had been "marred" because an "insufficient police presence" made the outing a "noisy, physically unpleasant and tense affair... to which [Thatcher] should never have been exposed".

The High Commissioner observed, "the Australian media are notorious for their low standards of journalism, their scurrilousness, triviality and bias", and their reporting of the Prime Minister's visit was largely "snide comment, half-baked and out-of-date ideas about Britain and grudging admiration of the Prime Minister".

The Prime Minister's files reveal she sent personal thank you notes to her Victoria Police Close Protection Officers following the incident, as well as the manager of the Body Shop where the protestors had gathered. Mrs Thatcher also kept photographs and handwritten letters sent by students from remote outback cattle stations who she spoke with by radio during a visit to the School of the Air in Alice Springs.

As previously [revealed](#) by SBS, Margaret Thatcher and Bob Hawke 'disagreed profoundly' over the Harare declaration and the Commonwealth's response to ending South Africa's apartheid.

The relationship had become so fraught that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office raised the tone of Mrs Thatcher's correspondence with her Australian counterpart after she repeatedly ignored their advice to address letters 'Dear Bob' rather than 'Dear Prime Minister'.

Revelations on stormy Hawke-Thatcher relationship

There are fresh details about the turbulent relationship between political heavyweights Bob Hawke and Margaret Thatcher.

Her Private Secretary promised to do better, noting the refusal was perhaps "the female factor", suggesting "she [was] cheesed off with him" after they clashed over South Africa at the Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting (CHOGM).

There was, however, one topic on which the pair did agree: Australia receiving one of two original copies of the Australian Constitution.




A directive sent from Downing Street reveals that, despite refusals from the Lord Chancellor and Civil Service, Mrs Thatcher was "sympathetic to the request" and pushed ahead with her instructions for one of the documents to be sent to Canberra.

The memo read: "(T)he Prime Minister said that the birth of a nation was a remarkable event and not to have it legitimized by a birth certificate must be galling, especially when the foster parents had two. She wondered how people in this country would feel if somebody else had two copies of the Magna Carta and we had none. She thought we were being selfish in refusing the Australians."

Mr Hawke had made four formal requests that had been politely declined, refusing to accept the offer of a replica, noting, "permanent possession of the original document containing the Australian Constitution is a matter of great consequence for all Australians".

The Foreign Minister, Gareth Evans, didn't appear, however, to share the Prime Minister's determination. When Britain's Foreign Secretary raised the issue with him directly during a meeting at CHOGM in Kuala Lumpur in 1989, the minutes noted,

"Senator Evans reacted with surprise... saying that 'he didn't give a stuff about the Constitution Act'".



The British High Commissioner to Australia sent these clippings to Margaret Thatcher in London after an embarrassing incident involving former Australia.

British bureaucrats noted that despite, "his officials intervening to say that Australia had been asking for an authentic copy and that they were still asking", Senator Evans "ploughed on regardless", expressing his "complete lack of interest".

A Foreign and Commonwealth Office "personality profile" described Opposition Leader Dr Andrew Hewson as "an archetypal over-achiever and working-class boy made good".

"Very ambitious.

"A touch arrogant and a workaholic.

"Has a penchant for monogrammed shirts and French champagne."


Political polar opposites, the files reveal that Mrs Thatcher and Mr Hawke shared a healthy sense of humour. For his 60th birthday, Mrs Thatcher sent a personal telegram: "Congratulations – the first 60 years are the worst."

After visiting Australia in May 1989, the Secretary of State recalled a conversation he had with the Prime Minister ahead of the upcoming election against Opposition Leader Andrew Peacock: “His comment to me on Peacock was that a Leader of a Party could be lazy and he could also have a third-rate mind but he should not be both!”

One dispatch from the British High Commission in Canberra ahead of the 1987 election noted that in one opinion poll, “Mrs Thatcher is rated more highly than Mr Hawke,” a sentence underlined and ticked by an amused Prime Minister.

Following his win, another telegram was dispatched from London: “I send you my warm congratulations on your Election. There is a lot to be said for third terms.”

Only one would win a fourth.



The Foreign and Commonwealth Office expressed frustration at Mrs Thatcher’s refusal to warmly address letters to Bob Hawke.

Royal relationship

Perhaps the most unusual find in the final bundles of cabinet documents during Margaret Thatcher’s time in office, is a handwritten note exchanged with Princess Margaret, the Queen’s late sister.

Communications between senior members of the royal household and members of the government are ‘absolutely exempt’ from the Freedom of Information Act.

Written on Kensington Palace letterhead and dated February 7, 1980, Princess Margaret wrote the following over four pages:

My Dear Prime Minister,

I write belatedly to thank you for your kind letter. I just had to have some things dug out of my face but luckily everything went well and were' worrying.

I was so interested to hear about your visit to the United States. I expect you surprised them no end at answering their questions in a positive way, when they are used to waffling on for hours in figures of 8, not actually answering anything. The steel strike is depressing. I well remember when Charles Villiers took it over. I congratulated him on his courage and he said, "I am taking on a moribund, old fashioned, out of date, uneconomical, out of date industry" [sic] and I said "Is there any hope of improving it?" and he said "Very little." [sic]

I suppose if one is an ordinary working man and one's union tells one not to vote for new machinery or technology because otherwise you will lose your job or your card - you just don't dare. I went to Cambridge for a Debate (rather fully all about the church, lots of clerics) and found them all rabid conservatives - not a Trotskyite to agree with! They were passionately against the Olympic Games in Moscow. I tried the "Isn't it hard on the athletes" bit but they were adamant. I suppose individuals must choose whether to go as it's up to the Olympic Committee if that silly boxer doesn't make a hash of it he might get Africa to cock a snook at the Russians.


I found it quite impossible to find out what is happening in Afghanistan. Are they about to wheel into Iran and get all the oil? More power to your policy of nuclear power stations. I wish they weren't called "nuclear" as people always think of the bomb. I've been advocating them since I was 20!

Many thanks for allocating £10,000 to the N.S.P.C.C. (National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children) They are vital and I am President and support their free service.

With again many thanks for your letter

Yours very sincerely

Margaret



One of numerous hand signed thank you notes signed by Margaret Thatcher after protestors disrupted her visit to Melbourne in 1988.

“There are other dimensions to Mrs Thatcher, not just the steely Iron Lady”, Mr Dunton from Britain’s National Archives told SBS.

After 12 years of colourful files documenting Mrs Thatcher’s time in power, are historians sad to read the last of them?

“I wouldn’t like to say that,” he laughed.

“I believe, actually, there is still a lot more interesting records to come.

“I look forward to John Major’s marginalia," he said.

“These comments in the margin are absolutely fascinating, they are so revealing about the prime ministers, the pressures they’re under, their personalities, their reactions to events.”

<p>What culture or governance practices and other practices (including risk management, recruitment and remuneration practices and/or the use of a superannuation member's retirement savings by a financial service entity) of the entity are of concern and why?</p>
<p>How effective are the mechanisms for consumer redress and how could they be improved?</p> <p>Completely ineffective.....ASIC in particular avoids investigating breach of trust issues in respect to Company Entities acting as Financial Intermediaries</p>
<p>What changes would you like the Royal Commission to recommend?</p> <p>Act in the Public Interest and stop protecting Insolvency Practitioners and Banks</p>
<p>Other Comments</p>

Andrew Garrett

From: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com
Sent: Wednesday, 24 May 2017 9:47 AM
To: Coulter, Trevor; Jane.Ferry@ato.gov.au; chris.jordan@ato.gov.au; vincent.tavolaro@ags.gov.au
Cc: Secretary; rbainfo@rba.gov.au; senator.brandis@aph.gov.au; attorney@ag.gov.au; Jeff.Pearce@AFP.gov.au; Martine.Whitton@aph.gov.au; Martin.Pakula@parliament.vic.gov.au; agd@agd.sa.gov.au; lan.Gant@sa.gov.au; Don.Mackintosh@sa.gov.au; caapmsupremecourtchiefjusticeschamberscaa@courts.sa.gov.au; john.mathieson@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.chiefJudgePascoe@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au; associate.mortimerj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.robertsj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.beachj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.JudgeRiethmuller@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au; associate.traceyj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.northj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.jessupj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.daviesj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.middletonj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.pagonej@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.gilmourj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.kennyj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.charlesworthj@fedcourt.gov.au; oaic@oaic.gov.au; office@smith.minister.nsw.gov.au; george.boschma@oenoviva.com; steven.kavanagh@oenoviva.com; scott.mitchell@oenoviva.com; peter.tran@oenoviva.com; brennan.fitzallen@fitzallen-forestry.com; robert.nowak@oenoviva.com; eric.lauro@oenoviva.com; roger.dickeson@oenoviva.com
Subject: TAKE NOTICE FW: The Trustee of the Australian People Future Fund v Regis, the Commonwealth of Australia, The Reserve Bank of Australia & Ors
Attachments: Letter APFF to the Ambassador of China 23.05.2017.pdf; Public Law Justice French.rtf; IBOE SN 61.00064.17 AUD\$1556969829685.00 issued 10.05.2017 notarised 10.05.2017 (T0645359xD3FB5).pdf; Australian People Future Fund RWA Letter MT799 Verbiage to be confirmed by RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIAO (SWIFTS FORMAT-MAY-2017).doc; Deed of settlement ATO.pdf; Deed of Agreement Guarantee and Indemnity ATO.pdf
Importance: High

THE CROWN, (YOU/YOUR)
Attn Chris Jordan, Trevor Coulter, Jane Ferry, Vincent Tavolaro

Attn People,

I cannot bring myself to use any form of Honorific when addressing you, you most definitely are not "Dear" to me or any other citizen of this country, you have no honour and are unworthy of the Public Trust.

I once said to Vincent Tavolaro (*in one of our many appearances together*) that I wished I was as clever as he, I withdraw that comment and confirm that he like you all are as dumb as dog shit and worth only of being treated with the same contempt and disgust.

You have always played your hand from a stacked deck in all matters involving YOU evidencing your willingness to breach the public trust and acting against the Public Interest in favour of your own unjust enrichment and corrupt conduct.

I note that the proceedings brought by the AFP against the ATO are being heard behind closed doors at the Downing Street Centre in Sydney which is a further offence to the Public Interest, YOU the Crown and officer of the Court empowered under any of the Federal, State and Territory Constitutions are hopelessly conflicted.

'Shocking', 'disgusting': alleged Cranston tax fraud may reveal wider stains at ATO: experts



Nassim Khadem

313 reading now · Show comments

SHARE

TWEET

MORE

News of Australian Taxation Office's deputy commissioner Michael Cranston facing a looming charge for accessing internal agency documents involving his son's alleged \$165 million tax fraud syndicate has been described as "shocking" and "disgusting" by tax professionals, and some fear that stains may be far wider at the agency.



Please confirm by return communique why YOU all and every officer of the Crown is not before the Court in Regis v Cranston and Ors as a consequence of YOUR admitted Criminal and Civil Vicarious Liability.

The attached letter to the Ambassador for China providing preliminary notice of proceedings to be brought in Hong Kong is self-explanatory.....you will be named as defendants as will every Judge, officer of the Court, Court and Tribunal I have ever appeared before.....the list is significant.

I have copied the Trustees of OenoViva Capital Resources and at all times have fully disclosed the nature of YOUR Corrupt Conduct to those Trustees.

It is also clear to me that the Australian Government Solicitors Office cannot act as they are hopelessly conflicted as a consequence of the conduct of Tavolaro and YOU.

I once again repeat my request that the Commissioner of Taxation consents to orders to set aside the Default Judgement given in DCCIV-2003-1666; *Deputy Commissioner of Taxation v Andrew Garrett ATF the Andrew Garrett Family Trust*.

I now also request that the Commissioner consents to orders setting aside all judgments and orders made in proceedings in any Court or Tribunal involving me and him or his agents.

As agent for Brennan Fitzallen personally and in his capacity as Controller appointed to Southern Containers, I request the Commissioner's consent to orders setting aside the attached Deed of Settlement dated 22nd December 2014, Agreement and Guarantee dated 29th August 2014 along with the relevant orders and reasons of the Tribunal.

To be clear, I have requested administrative decisions from you.

I again remind you of your model litigant obligations.....which you and the CROWN have quite clearly forgotten.

I require your response by return communique

Andrew Garrett
Managing Trustee
OenoViva Global (“OV(Global)”)
OenoViva CapitalResources (“OCR”)
The OenoViva Artisans Trust (“OVA”)
www.oenoviva.com

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



Melbourne Minh Level 3, 2 Drewery Place 140 Nguyen Van Thu Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3000 HCM, Vietnam	Hobart 87-89 Cove Hill Road, Bridgewater, TAS, 7030	Hong Kong "The Desk" 511 Queens Road West,, Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong	Ho Chi Suite 103, District 1,
--	--	--	--

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542
E: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com

The Communication may contain copyright material of OenoViva (Australia & New Zealand) Pty Ltd ("OVANZ") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of OVANZ. OVANZ does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com [mailto:andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com]
Sent: Tuesday, 23 May 2017 3:43 PM
To: 'Consulate_au@mfa.gov.au'
Cc: senator.brandis@aph.gov.au; attorney@ag.gov.au; Martine.Whitton@aph.gov.au; Secretary (Secretary@rba.gov.au); rbainfo@rba.gov.au; Andrew Phelan (aphelan@hcourt.gov.au); john.mathieson@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.chiefJudgePascoe@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au
Subject: The Trustee of the Australian People Future Fund v Regis, the Commonwealth of Australia, The Reserve Bank of Australia & Ors
Importance: High

The People’s Republic of China
Attn The Ambassador to the Commonwealth of Australia

Cc Senator Brandis, The Attorney General
Mr Anthony Leonard Dickman, The Reserve Bank of Australia
Mr Andrew Phelan, CEO of the High Court of Australia
Chief Justice Allsop, Federal Court of Australia
Chief Judge Pascoe, Federal Circuit Court of Australia

Your Excellency,

Please note attached a letter of today's date and annexures for your consideration.

The email Chain below I trust is self-explanatory

Please also note;

I'm using Adobe Send & Track.

You can view "Deed of Settlement of 'The Australian People Future Fund' notarised on 10.05.2017 Apostille 19.05.2017.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/587806a4-b5ee-482a-80c6-2cec19c93fc4>

You can view "Traditional Rights and Freedoms— Encroachments by Commonwealth Laws.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/9eeae60c-1865-4871-951d-e3a367bfb3c5>

You can view "Activity Statement Receipt December Quarter 2016.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/562e49c0-12f0-48ad-a8ef-4981c2a9757b>

You can view "Delegating Legislative power summary.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/7064a212-2984-4491-abe5-fecae317d60a>

You can view "separation of powers a constitutional principle.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/e00fd4b0-3c39-4f4f-ba5e-585cfd974bd9>

You can view "16. Delegating Legislative Power separation of powers.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/2cc3e08b-542b-4a2e-af33-bbe8f2a19356>

You can view "Harrasment.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/ad3b0ef2-0536-4295-9184-147172ab4874>

You can view "Judicial Review a Common Law Principle.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/d0127b8a-e777-434e-a657-bba7dd49c8e7>

You can view "Access to Federal Court Records.pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/bc235854-3e07-4659-9260-92f99b23d5bd>

You can view "ALRC submission to Senate Standing Committee inquiry into the Freedom of Information Amendment (Reform) Bill 2009 (Cth) and the Information Commissioner Bill 2009 (Cth).pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/251d27d9-088c-4c26-a546-8a7f2e5e67a5>

You can view "Open Government - A Review of the Federal Freedom of Information Act 1982 (ALRC Report 77).pdf" at: <https://files.acrobat.com/a/preview/10a813b9-914d-443e-a945-271e9c775f47>

Andrew Garrett

Managing Trustee

The Australian People Future Fund

OenoViva Global ("OV(Global)")

OenoViva CapitalResources ("OCR")

The OenoViva Artisans Trust ("OVA")

www.oenoviva.com

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



**Melbourne
Minh**

Level 3, 2 Drewery Place
140 Nguyen Van Thu Street,
Melbourne, Victoria, 3000
HCM, Vietnam

Hobart

87-89 Cove Hill Road,
Bridgewater, TAS, 7030

Hong Kong

"The Desk" 511 Queens Road West,,
Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong

Ho Chi

Suite 103,
District 1,

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542
E: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com

The Communication may contain copyright material of OenoViva (Australia & New Zealand) Pty Ltd ("OVANZ") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of OVANZ. OVANZ does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com [<mailto:andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, 23 May 2017 8:57 AM

To: rbainfo@rba.gov.au; Secretary (Secretary@rba.gov.au); senator.brandis@aph.gov.au; attorney@ag.gov.au; Martin.Pakula@parliament.vic.gov.au; Don.Mackintosh@sa.gov.au; agd@agd.sa.gov.au; Ian.Gant@sa.gov.au; associate.kennyj@fedocourt.gov.au; associate.gilmourj@gfedcourt.gov.au; associate.mortimerj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.beachj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.JudgeBurchardt@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au; associate.JudgeRiethmuller@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au; associate.robertsj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.traceyj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.northj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.chiefJudgePascoe@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au; associate.charlesworth@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.daviesj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.jessupj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.jessupj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.middletonj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.pagonej@fedcourt.gov.au; caapmsupremecourtchiefjusticeschamberscaa@courts.sa.gov.au; CAA:PM Supreme Court Bochner SCM's Chambers (CAA) (CAAPMSupremeCourtBochnerSCMsChambersCAA@courts.sa.gov.au)

Subject: FW: MATTERS & Amendment Notice - 201605190014552 - ENQ-829463-P1B8N4 and Admission of Facts by the Crown & Esytoppel

Importance: High

The Reserve Bank of Australia,
Attn Mr Anthony Leonard Dickman, The Secretary, the Reserve Bank of Australia & Senator Brandis,
Attorney General of Commonwealth of Australia & Andrew Phelan, CEO the High Court of Australia
(YOU/YOUR)

Dear Sir,

I have considered my response to your decisions dated 19th May 2017 and earlier decisions, it appears that you are being wilfully blind to your statutory obligations as with the rest of the Officers of the Crown who are in positions of power.

Since Federation and the placing of the public trust in YOU, YOU have acted unlawfully, invalidly and corruptly in a manner that does NOT serve the Public Interest.

Since 2004 I have communicated with you regarding my experiences in respect to the aforesaid conduct in which regard YOU have failed to exercise the Statutory Tribunal obligations incumbent upon you, the revelations of the recent Royal Commission into Institutional and Government responses to those complaints are sickening and can only be described as corrupt.

At no point in time have YOU or any person associated with YOU denied my statements of Facts and Truth.....you are estopped from now doing so and seeking to extinguish my constitutional, promissory and contractual rights to remedy (in all of my capacities) in which regard I attach a KYC so that you can understand the nature of those rights and in particular with regard to my capacity as Trustee of the Australian People Future Fund which I assure you is anything but purported as you suggest.....perhaps you ought seek to understand Trust law and particular that of the Public Trust.

Andrew Garrett

Managing Trustee

OenoViva Global (“OV(Global)”)

OenoViva CapitalResources (“OCR”)

The OenoViva Artisans Trust (“OVA”)

www.oenoviva.com

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



**Melbourne
Minh**

Level 3, 2 Drewery Place
140 Nguyen Van Thu Street,
Melbourne, Victoria, 3000
HCM, Vietnam

Hobart

87-89 Cove Hill Road,
Bridgewater, TAS, 7030

Hong Kong

"The Desk" 511 Queens Road West,,
Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong

Ho Chi

Suite 103,
District 1,

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542
E: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com

The Communication may contain copyright material of OenoViva (Australia & New Zealand) Pty Ltd ("OVANZ") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of OVANZ. OVANZ does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com [<mailto:andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com>]
Sent: Sunday, 21 May 2017 2:59 PM
To: 'Secretary'
Cc: rbainfo@rba.gov.au; Andrew Phelan (aphelan@hcourt.gov.au); SFC (SFC@finance.gov.au); george.boschma@oenoviva.com; steven.kavanagh@oenoviva.com; scott.mitchell@oenoviva.com;

'peter.tran@oenoviva.com'; roger.dickeson@oenoviva.com; brennan.fitzallen@fitzallen-forestry.com; chris.jordan@ato.gov.au; Coulter, Trevor; Jane.Ferry@ato.gov.au; vincent.tavolaro@ags.gov.au; associate.gilmourj@gfedcourt.gov.au; associate.mortimerj@fedcourt.gov.au; 'associate.jessupj@fedcourt.gov.au'; PPSR Correspondence Queue (enquiries@ppsr.gov.au); associate.JudgeBurchardt@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au; associate.JudgeRiethmuller@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au; associate.kennyj@fedocurt.gov.au; associate.kennyj@fedocurt.gov.au; 'associate.daviesj@fedcourt.gov.au'; 'associate.pagonej@fedcourt.gov.au'; associate.robertsj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.traceyj@fedcourt.gov.au; 'associate.beachj@fedcourt.gov.au'; associate.northj@fedcourt.gov.au; associate.chiefJudgePascoe@federalcircuitcourt.gov.au; associate.charlesworth@fedcourt.gov.au; john.mathieson@fedcourt.gov.au; caapmsupremecourtchiefjusticeschamberscaa@courts.sa.gov.au; Martin.Pakula@parliament.vic.gov.au; senator.brandis@aph.gov.au; attorney@ag.gov.au; Martine.Whitton@aph.gov.au; 'Don.Mackintosh@sa.gov.au'; Ian.Gant@sa.gov.au; rmusolino@hcourt.gov.au; 'bwickham@hcourt.gov.au'; 'pobox111mardensa5070@gmail.com'; 'steven.kavanagh@oenoviva.com'; 'peter.tran@oenoviva.com'; 'scott.mitchell@oenoviva.com'; 'roger.dickeson@oenoviva.com'; brennan.fitzallen@fitzallen-forestry.com; george.boschma@oenoviva.com; scott.baddiley@crowehorwath.com.au; alison.flakemore@crowehorwath.com.au

Subject: MATTERS & Amendment Notice - 201605190014552 - ENQ-829463-P1B8N4 and Admission of Facts by the Crown

Importance: High

The Reserve Bank of Australia,
Attn Mr Anthony Leonard Dickman, The Secretary, the Reserve Bank of Australia
Cc; Andrew Phelan, CEO the High Court of Australia

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your Decision dated 19th May 2017 that was made under the provisions of *the Freedom of Information Act 1982* (Cth) and *the Reserve Bank of Australia Act 1959* (Cth), I make this application for Internal Review of that decision on the following Grounds;

1. The decisions is so manifestly unreasonable that no reasonable person would have made the same decision.
2. The decision is affected by Actual Bias in circumstances where a Notice of Apprehended Bias and Actual Bias was issued prior to the making of the decision.
3. The decision is made in circumstances where the decision maker failed to inquire in accordance with the obligations of a Tribunal and determine all of the relevant facts prior to making the decision.
4. The Decision was made in circumstances where relevant materials were withheld by others and/or the decision maker.
5. The Decision Maker did not comply with the Hearing rule that requires the Reserve Bank of Australia to provide not only the adverse materials, but all of the materials relevant to the matter in issue whether or not the decision maker intends to rely upon it.
6. There is an absence of relevant law in the decision and if the relevant law was properly applied then a different decision would have been made.
7. There are inadequate reasons given for the making of the Decision.
8. The Decision failed to consider the evidence; if the evidence was properly considered then a different decision would have been made.
9. The Decision is not fair.
10. The Decision is a denial of procedural fairness.
11. The decision is a jurisdictional error of the Decision Maker that leads to the decision being a nullity and a constructive failure to exercise jurisdiction.
12. The decision was made on the instruction of others and was not made independently and in the public interest.
13. The Decision Maker fell into error as a question of law and jurisdictional error in causing the Decision Maker to identify a wrong issue and to ask the Decision Maker a wrong question in order to ignore relevant materials to make an erroneous decision in order to reach a mistaken conclusion and the tribunal's exercise of power or purported exercise of power is thereby affected.

14. The Decision is an abuse of process for the improper purpose.
15. The Decision Maker failed to make decision in circumstances where the question of law arises whether the decision maker was obliged to do so as a consequence of its statutory obligations.
16. The Decision Maker did not give fair consideration of the case presented.
17. The question of law and fact arises whether the decision maker was Negligent.
18. There is no Evidence to support the Decision and when all of the evidence is considered the reverse decision is supported.
19. The Decision is tainted by Bad Faith.
20. The Decision is Illogical or Irrational.
21. The Decision is uncertain in that it leaves a question of Judgment estimation and was no more than an opinion.
22. There are inadequate reasons given for the making of the Decision.
23. The Decision is a denial of Natural Justice.
24. The Decision Maker acted dishonestly.
25. The Decision Maker acted disproportionately
26. The Decisions is tainted by Fraud.
27. The Decision Maker did not comply with the obligation to give the Plaintiff a fair hearing.
28. The exercise of discretion to grant relief upon review would not be futile and the benefit to be gained by the applicant is substantial.

In respect to your communicate I note as follows;

1. I do not accept your internal review decision dated 30th December 2016
2. I contest your commentary expressed in all communicates
3. Your communicates are silent to the trace of equity and the Public Trust (a Trust) in which regard YOU are a joint Trustee.

You say;

“In relation to your request under section 13 of the Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1977 for the reasons for the decision to seek amendment of PPSR registration 201605190014552, please note that section 13 does not apply to a decision that includes, or is accompanied by a statement setting out, findings of facts, a reference to the evidence or other material on which those findings were based and the reasons for the decision (see sub-section 13(11)(b)). The amendment demand to you dated 17 February 2017 and the Amendment Statement dated 2 March 2017, a copy of each of which you have (as evidenced by your attaching them to your email dated 30 April below) set out the basis for the amendment demand and the Amendment Statement respectively including the facts relied on and the reasons for the amendment demand and the Amendment Statement. Accordingly the decision to seek amendment of PPSR registration 201605190014552 is not a decision to which section 13 applies, and so you have no entitlement to make application under section 13.”

I respond to the aforementioned decision that you are incorrect; the exercise of YOUR powers and making of Decisions in respect to the exercise of YOUR Powers can only be decisions made under an enactment which in this case is *the Reserve Bank Act 1959 (Cth)* ; as you know that act established your powers to make decisions affecting another person’s rights (my rights, those of persons related to me and the peoples of Australia for whom I act as Trustee)..... as a tribunal established as an agency of the Crown.

I disagree with your contention and confirm that Section 13 of the ADJR most certainly applies in which regard I take your communicate as a refusal to provide reasons in respect to your decision to exercise YOUR powers which powers when exercised MUST be exercised in the Public Interest.

I make this application for Internal Review of your refusal decision dated 19th May 2017 on the same grounds as those set out above.

You say;

“In relation to the rest of your email dated 30 April below other than the quoted sections of the Reserve Bank Act 1959, on behalf of the RBA, and the Secretary of the RBA, I deny:

- all alleged admissions, failures, liabilities or bias;*
- that the RBA has any duty, obligation or responsibility that you allege or assert in that email that it has; and*
- that you, or any trust of which you are or purport to be trustee, is owed any money by the RBA or has any security interest in any assets of the RBA.”*

I note the email is signed by Ian Chua who apparently acts in communications for YOU as Agent and note the following;

1. *The Reserve Bank of Australia Act 1959 (Cth) does not allow the Secretary or the Board to Delegate its Powers under that enactment, and*
2. *The Communication dated 19th May 2017 is a communique from the Reserve Bank and YOU the Secretary through YOUR agent Mr Ian Chua and is a communication from YOU, and*
3. *There is only one “THE CROWN”.....the Principal*
4. *YOU are an agent of THE CROWN*
5. *THE CROWN has already admitted liability and consented to the securitisation of the assets of the Commonwealth, the States and Territories by me.*
6. *You are on Notice of those admissions and consent because under the Common Law “Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent”*
7. *A Court with of Power in the Common Law jurisdiction being a court NOT controlled by THE CROWN will not allow the withdrawal of admissions and/or consents except in truly exceptional circumstances*
8. *Your denial is Ultra Vires, is disingenuous and is a breach of the Public Trust to act in the Public Interest*
9. *In so far as YOU and/or the Reserve Bank of Australia own an assets those assets are owned in the Public Trust to be applied in the Public Interest.*
10. *In establishing the Four Pillars policy YOU breached the Public Trust.*
11. *YOU are jointly Criminally and Vicariously liable for the conduct of all officers of the Crown including those that are the subject of the communiques and attachments set out below*
12. *Your denial is out of time (per service on the Crown Solicitor and the offices of the Attorney Generals over an extended period) in which regard you have waived your rights to deny with the passage of time consequently it is both unlawful and invalid, of no effect and is ultra vires.*

You Say;

“I also refer to the following emails and letter received from you:

- email dated 14 May 2017 with the subject line ‘The Australian People Future Fund ABN 26 317 275 322 and International Bill of Exchange SN; 61.00064/17 held by the Reserve Bank of Australia FOR VALUE RECEIVED/ NOTICE TO ADMIT FACTS’;*
- letter dated 11 May 2017;*
- email dated 5 May 2017 with the subject line ‘The Trustee for The Australian People Future Fund ABN26317275322 & this Notice to Admit Facts dated 3rd May 2017’; and*
- email dated 3 May 2017 with the subject line ‘The Trustee for The Australian People Future Fund ABN26317275322 & this Notice to Admit Facts dated 3rd May 2017’.*

In relation to those emails and that letter:

- a) *the RBA does not accept that the purported trust deed, a copy of which was attached to your email dated 5 May 2017 and a notarised copy of which was enclosed with your letter dated 11 May 2017, has any validity, force or effect as against the RBA or any relevance to the RBA;*
- b) *the RBA does not accept that the purported international bill of exchange has any validity, force or effect;*
- c) *the RBA denies that you, or any trust of which you are or purport to be trustee, is owed any money by the RBA or has any security interest in any assets of the RBA; and*
- d) *the RBA does not admit, and denies, the other allegations and assertions made by you that relate to it or any of its officers.*

The notarised copy of the purported trust deed and the original of the purported international bill of exchange that you posted to the Secretary under cover of your letter dated 11 May 2017 will be returned to you by post.”

The aforementioned details are decisions of YOU and the Reserve Bank of Australia and are made under an enactment in which regard I make this application for the reasons of those decisions under the provisions of *the Administrative Decisions Judicial Review Act 1977 (Cth)* generally and s13 of the act for a copy of the reasons related to the aforementioned decisions.

I make this application for Internal Review of those decisions on the Grounds set out above.

In returning International Bill of Exchange SN:61.00064/17 to me as set out YOU admit that YOU are endorsing that bill back to me and become liable on the face value of the Bill as an endorser for value received in which regard I note that the UNCITRAL Convention on Bills of Exchange 1988 (copy attached) and review of the Bills of Exchange Act 1909 (Cth) that YOU are signatory to allows for two days to return the Bill in which regard you are out of time.

I take you communication as a refusal to establish a Purchased Payment Facility in favour of the Trustee of the Australian People Future Fund and monetise/ bankerise the stored value of International Bill of Exchange SN:61.00064/17 in accordance with your statutory obligations.

I make this application for Internal Review of the aforementioned decisions on the Grounds set out above and note that Australian Treaty Series No 23 is clear and in particular at Article 1 AND the aforementioned details are a decision of YOU and the Reserve Bank of Australia and is made under an enactment in which regard I make this application for the reasons of those decisions under the provisions of *the Administrative Decisions Judicial Review Act 1977 (Cth)* generally and s13 of the act for a copy of the reasons related to the aforementioned decision.

You Say;

Except to the extent:

- *that it is required to do so by law; or*
 - *necessary to obtain removal of any PPSR registration against the RBA made by you including the registration on 7 May 2017, in relation to which the Secretary will separately write to you,*
- the RBA does not propose to enter into further correspondence with you on the above, or any other, matters*

I ask you to accept this communicate as a Notice to admit facts under the Common law; YOU admit YOUR conduct is unlawful and/or Invalid and/or Ultra Vires.

I take your words to be a decision under made under an enactment consequently I make this application for Internal Review of those decisions on the Grounds set out above AND the aforementioned details are a decision of YOU and the Reserve Bank of Australia and is made under an enactment in which regard I make this application for the reasons of those decisions under the provisions of the Administrative Decisions Judicial Review Act 1977 (Cth) generally and s13 of the act for a copy of the reasons related to the aforementioned decision.

In making the Decisions summarised above you have acted as a Tribunal in determining my rights, the rights of entities related to me and the rights of the beneficiaries of the Australian People Future Fund.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Andrew Garrett

Managing Trustee

OenoViva Global (“OV(Global)”)

OenoViva CapitalResources (“OCR”)

The OenoViva Artisans Trust (“OVA”)

www.oenoviva.com

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



**Melbourne
Minh**

Level 3, 2 Drewery Place
140 Nguyen Van Thu Street,
Melbourne, Victoria, 3000
HCM, Vietnam

Hobart

87-89 Cove Hill Road,
Bridgewater, TAS, 7030

Hong Kong

"The Desk" 511 Queens Road West,,
Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong

Ho Chi

Suite 103,
District 1,

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542
E: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com

The Communication may contain copyright material of OenoViva (Australia & New Zealand) Pty Ltd ("OVANZ") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of OVANZ. OVANZ does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: Secretary [<mailto:Secretary@rba.gov.au>]
Sent: Friday, 19 May 2017 4:51 PM
To: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com
Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Request & Notices To Admit Facts & This Notice of Actual and Apprehended Bias and PPSR ENQ-829463-P1B8N4 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Mr Garrett

I refer to your email dated 30 April below, which includes an Freedom of Information request seeking ‘a copy of any document or thing related to me that is in the possession and/or control of the Secretary of the RBA and that has not been provided under the FOI Decision dated 25th November 2016 reference No. RBAFOI-161704’. Following receipt of your email of 15 May, I have included ‘any document or thing related to the Trustee of the Australian People Future Fund’ in this request.

The documents covered by your Freedom of Information request are as follows:

- communications from you, your copies of which you already have;
- a small number of emails between myself and the Bank’s General Counsel and other members of the Bank’s legal team, which are subject to legal professional privilege and accordingly are exempt from release to you under section 42 of the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*; and
- seven other documents, copies of which are attached to this email in a Zip file.

I attach a document detailing your rights to review of my decision.

Yours sincerely,

Anthony Dickman | Secretary
RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA | 65 Martin Place, Sydney NSW 2000
p: +61 2 9551 9710 | f: +61 2 9551 8041 | e: foi@rba.gov.au | w: www.rba.gov.au

From: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com [<mailto:andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com>]
Sent: Sunday, 30 April 2017 1:25 PM
To: RBAInfo
Cc: senator.brandis@aph.gov.au; Martine.Whitton@aph.gov.au; attorney@ag.gov.au; PPSR Correspondence Queue
Subject: Freedom of Information Request & Notices To Admit Facts & This Notice of Actual and Apprehended Bias and PPSR ENQ-829463-P1B8N4
Importance: High

The Reserve Bank of Australia (“The RBA”)
Attn Mr Anthony Leonard Dickman,
The Secretary of the Board of Governors.
65 Martin Place
Sydney, Australia, 2000
Cc The Registrar of the Personal Property Security Register

Dear Secretary

I refer to the Notices of Admissions of Facts referred to in the Notice to Admit Facts dated 11th April 2017 addressed to the Attorney Generals of the Commonwealth, the States and Territories of Australia (*set out below and annexures as attached*) and email chain attached addressed to Senator Brandis and his personnel.

I also refer to our prior communications in which regard I addressed the issue of the obligation of the Reserve Bank to act in the Public Interest and that of the Peoples of Australia as set out in s10, 10A & 10B of *the Reserve Bank of Australia Act 1959* (Cth) as follows;

10 Functions of Reserve Bank Board

- (1) Subject to this Part, the Reserve Bank Board has power to determine the policy of the Bank in relation to any matter, other than its payments system policy, and to take such action as is necessary to ensure that effect is given by the Bank to the policy so determined.

- (2) It is the duty of the Reserve Bank Board, within the limits of its powers, to ensure that the monetary and banking policy of the Bank is directed to the greatest advantage of the people of Australia and that the powers of the Bank under this Act and any other Act, other than the Payment Systems (Regulation) Act 1998, the Payment Systems and Netting Act 1998 and Part 7.3 of the Corporations Act 2001, are exercised in such a manner as, in the opinion of the Reserve Bank Board, will best contribute to:
- a. the stability of the currency of Australia;
 - b. the maintenance of full employment in Australia; and
 - c. the economic prosperity and welfare of the people of Australia.

10A Establishment of Payments System Board

There is to be a Payments System Board of the Reserve Bank which is to be constituted as provided in Part IIIA.

10B Functions of Payments System Board

- (1) The Payments System Board has power to determine the Bank's payments system policy.
- (2) The Payments System Board has power to take whatever action is necessary to ensure that the Bank gives effect to the policy it determines.
- (3) It is the duty of the Payments System Board to ensure, within the limits of its powers, that:
 - a. the Bank's payments system policy is directed to the greatest advantage of the people of Australia; and
 - b. the powers of the Bank under the Payment Systems (Regulation) Act 1998 and the Payment Systems and Netting Act 1998 are exercised in a way that, in the Board's opinion, will best contribute to:
 - i. controlling risk in the financial system; and
 - ii. promoting the efficiency of the payments system; and
 - iii. promoting competition in the market for payment services, consistent with the overall stability of the financial system; and
 - iv. the powers and functions of the Bank under Part 7.3 of the Corporations Act 2001 are exercised in a way that, in the Board's opinion, will best contribute to the overall stability of the financial system.

I have applied to establish a Purchased Payment Facility with the Reserve Bank of Australia in which regard I seek to Monetise AUD\$1,000,000,000,000.00 (Australian Dollars One Trillion) of the stored value set out in the Balance Sheet of the Andrew Garrett Family Trust No 4 ABN 42 388 204 496 trading as OenoViva Capital Resources in which regard the Reserve Bank of Australia refused on the 9th November 2016 to establish that Purchased Payment Facility for me to hold in trust for the Peoples of the Commonwealth of Australia for the purposes set out in my application.

On the 20th November 2016 I applied for Internal Review of the administrative decision of the RBA refusing to establish a Purchase Payment Facility in my favour to hold stored value in trust for the benefit of the Peoples of Australia.

The RBA has not undertaken that Internal Review requested of the RBA and is deemed to have refused to undertake Internal Review of that Refusal in which regard all rights are reserved..

The failure of the Reserve Bank of Australia, the Banking System, the Legislature, Executive Government and the Judicature to act in accordance with the Rule of Law and principles of Separation of Powers has led to the value expressed on the aforesaid Balance Sheet that flows from the Admission of Facts as a function of the Law.

The Attorney Generals have admitted liability of the Crown, personally and in their capacities as the First officers of law under the various Constitution Acts of the Commonwealth, the States and the Territories, to me as the Managing Trustee of OenoViva Capital Resources.

The admitted Liability of the Crown is secured over the assets of the Crown including the RBA in which regard I refer you to the attached details relating to your Amendment Notice in respect of registration 201605190014552 Giving of notice identifier: OCR/DCR/RBA/BOE and enquiry from the Registrar of the Personal Property Security Registrar given enquiry reference.

Freedom of Information

I ask you to consider this communique as an application in writing pursuant to the provisions of *the Freedom of Information Act* 1982 (Cth) (“FOI”) for a copy of any document or thing related to me that is in the possession and/or control of the Secretary of the RBA and that has not been provided under the FOI Decision dated 25th November 2016 reference No;RBAFOI-161704.

On the 30th November 2016 I applied for Internal Review of the aforementioned FOI Decision reference No;RBAFOI-161704, the Secretary has not undertaken the review requested in which regard the Secretary has been deemed to refuse my application for Internal Review.

Notice to Admit the Facts of Admitted Liability of the Crown

You admit as a fact under the Common Law that the quantum of admitted liability of the Crown and its officers (personally) is the amounts set out in the Notices to Admit Facts (listed below) served in accordance with the Law on the Attorney Generals of the Commonwealth, the States and Territories and that the quantum of liability of the Crown escalates in accordance with the principle of Post Judgment Interest being 1% per month multiplied by 300% in accordance with s8 of *the Registration of Deeds Act* SA(1936) in accordance with the Spreadsheet attached.

Application to Establish Purchased Payment Facility

Please accept this communique as an application to YOU to establish a Purchased Payment Facility in my name and to monetise 33% of the Stored Value of the Balance Sheet of OenoViva Capital Resources ABN 42 388 204 496 which in my capacity as Managing Trustee pursuant to a Resolution of the Board of Trustees given Reference No OVCR/RBA/30.04.2017 I irrevocably hereby gift and bequeath to me to be held in trust by me for the benefit of the Citizens of the Commonwealth, the States and the Territories of Australia for the purposes set out in my earlier communications including (but not limited to) the independent financing of the Judicial Arm of Government, Restructure of the Executive Arm of Government, necessary legislative amendments to ensure the Judiciary act and all subordinate acts of the Commonwealth, the States and Territories are consistent with the Common Law, the Establishment of a Judicial College to ensure education and training of the Judiciary (State, Territory and Federal) is independent of the Legislature and Executive Government, the establishment of an independent body to nominate appointment of Governors and Judiciary of the Commonwealth, the States and Territories and fund payments pursuant to the Human Right to Remedy.

Notice of Actual and Apprehended Bias and Judicial Review

I ask you to accept this communique as a Notice of Actual and Apprehended Bias in respect to any decision made by officers of the Reserve Bank of Australia. On the 17th February 2017 YOU, the Secretary to the Board of Governors

of the RBA made an administrative decision to seek amendment of PPSR registration 201605190014552 Giving of notice identifier: OCR/DCR/RBA/BOE.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Decisions Judicial Review Act 1977 (Cth) and in particular s13 of that act I request the reasons for that administrative decision and in so far as is necessary apply for an extension of time in which to apply for Internal Review of that decision.

The Grounds on which I seek review of the aforementioned Administrative Decision are as follows;

1. The Decision is so manifestly unreasonable that no reasonable person would have made the same decision.
2. The decision is affected by Actual Bias and Apprehended Bias in circumstances where the Decision Maker took note of submissions of other Government Agencies without considering the right of the applicant to respond
3. The decision is made in circumstances where the decision maker failed to inquire in accordance with the obligations of a Tribunal and determine all of the relevant facts prior to making the decision.
4. The Decision was made in circumstances where relevant materials were withheld by others and/or the decision maker.
5. The Decision Maker did not comply with the Hearing Rule that requires the Decision Maker to provide not only the adverse materials, but all of the materials relevant to the matter in issue whether or not the decision maker intends to rely upon it.
6. There is an absence of relevant law in the decision and if the relevant law was properly applied then different decision would have been made.
7. There is inadequate reasons given for the making of the Decision.
8. The decision failed to consider the evidence; if the evidence was properly considered then a different decision would have been made.
9. The decision is not fair.
10. The decision is a denial of procedural fairness.
11. The decision is a jurisdictional error of the Decision Maker that leads to the decision being a nullity and a constructive failure to exercise jurisdiction.
12. The decision was made on the instruction of others and was not made independently and in the public interest.
13. The Decision Maker fell into error as a question of law and jurisdictional error in causing himself to identify a wrong issue and to ask himself a wrong question in order to ignore relevant materials to make an erroneous decision in order to reach a mistaken conclusion and the tribunal's exercise of power or purported exercise of power is thereby affected.
14. The decision is an abuse of process for the improper purpose.
15. The Decision Maker failed to make decision on the private binding ruling in circumstances where the question of law arises whether the decision maker was obliged to do so as a consequence of its statutory obligations.
16. The Decision Maker did not give fair consideration of the case presented.
17. The question of law and fact arises whether the decision maker was Negligent.
18. There is no Evidence to support the Decision and when all of the evidence is considered the reverse decision is supported.
19. The Decision is tainted by Bad Faith.
20. The Decision is Illogical or Irrational.
21. The Decision is uncertain in that it leaves a question of Judgment estimation and was no more than an opinion.
22. There is inadequate reasons given for the making of the Decision.
23. The decision is a denial of Natural Justice.
24. The Decision Maker acted dishonestly.

- 25. The Decision Maker acted disproportionately
- 26. The Decision is tainted by Fraud.
- 28. The Decision Maker did not comply with the obligation to give the Plaintiff a fair hearing.
- 29. The exercise of discretion to grant relief upon review would not be futile and the benefit to be gained by the applicant is substantial.

I note that the RBA Act does not allow for delegation of its powers however the Common Law allows for Judicial Review of all Administrative Decisions and request that the RBA arrange for Judicial Review of all Administrative Decisions of the RBA related to me to be reviewed by the Supreme Court of Hong Kong forthwith and without delay in the light of this Notice of Actual and Apprehended Bias.

Please ensure a mechanism is in place for the aforementioned Court with Common Law Jurisdiction makes all future administrative decisions related to me.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Andrew Garrett

Managing Trustee

OenoViva Global (“OV(Global)”)

OenoViva CapitalResources (“OCR”)

The OenoViva Artisans Trust (“OVA”)

www.oenoviva.com

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



<p>Melbourne Minh Level 3, 2 Drewery Place 140 Nguyen Van Thu Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3000 HCM, Vietnam</p>	<p>Hobart 87-89 Cove Hill Road, Bridgewater, TAS, 7030</p>	<p>Hong Kong "The Desk" 511 Queens Road West,, Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong</p>	<p>Ho Chi Suite 103, District 1,</p>
--	--	--	--

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542
E: andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com

The Communication may contain copyright material of OenoViva (Australia & New Zealand) Pty Ltd ("OVANZ") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of OVANZ. OVANZ does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au [<mailto:andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au>]
Sent: Tuesday, 11 April 2017 11:05 AM

To: senator.brandis@aph.gov.au; agd@agd.sa.gov.au; martin.pakula@parliament.vic.gov.au; vanessa.goodwin@parliament.tas.gov.au; office@smith.minister.nsw.gov.au; Don.Mackintosh@sa.gov.au; CORBELL@act.gov.au; NTDCS.WebAdministrator@nt.gov.au; martin.pakula@parliament.vic.gov.au; 'DTF:Minister Koutsantonis' Office'; minister.mischin@dpc.wa.gov.au; attorney@ministerial.qld.gov.au; CourtsTribunalsandJustice@ag.gov.au; 'Andrew Phelan'; Andrew.Douglas@ato.gov.au; PPSR Correspondence Queue; Justin.Clarke@ato.gov.au; attorney@ag.gov.au; Martine.Whitton@aph.gov.au

Subject: This Notice to Admit Facts dated 11th April 2017 at Common Law & reservice of Notice of Constitutional Matter in VID 129 of 2015 dated 15th December 2015

Attorney Generals of the Commonwealth of Australia, the States and Territories of Australia, (hereinafter "you/your")
By email

Dear Attorney Generals,

This is a further Notice to Admit Facts issued under the Common Law consistent with the Notices to Admit Facts served on you previously by me including those listed below in my communique dated 19th March 2017 to the Commonwealth Attorney General (But not limited to)

You have previously been provided with Activity Statements reflecting your admissions of facts over time at Common Law.

Please note attached copies of those activity statements lodged with the Australian Taxation office calculated as the equivalent of Post Judgement Interest escalating at 1% per month and reflecting the law of s8 of the Real Property Act (SA) applied wherein that loss, cost and damage as a liquidated debt is trebled.

You admit the calculations set out in the attached spreadsheet are true and correct calculating the liquidated value owed by the Crown to me in my capacity as Trustee of the Andrew Garrett Family Trust No 4 ABN 42 388 204 496.

You admit your liability, as first officers of law of the Crown, to pay the amounts set out in the Activity Statements attached for the Quarters ending 30th June 2016, 30th September 2016, 31st December 2016 and 31st March 2017.

In *re Wakim ex parte McNally* the High Court observed that the Common Law cannot be at odds with the Constitution which finding ought to have read that the Constitution cannot be at odds with the Common Law as the source of power for the constitutions of the United Kingdom, the Commonwealth, the States and Territories of Australia.

The matters arising in matters related to me are extremely serious including whether Australian Treaty Series No 23, the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia, the Unwritten Constitution of the United Kingdom and the Charter of the Commonwealth of Nations are enforceable against the Crown in circumstances of invalid and/or unlawful conduct.

You have been served with the attached Notice of Constitutional Matter in VID 129 of 2015; *Garrett v Commissioner of Taxation* in which regard the Honourable Justice Kenny affirmed that the Matters arising are stayed pending hearing by a court with competent jurisdiction.

You admit that the relevant law applying to the conduct of the Crown including enforcement of Rule of Law, the principles of Separation of Powers and the avoidance of immunity from prosecution of the Crown ought to be read from the Judiciary Act and its review see attached.

In *Garrett v Cahill* 2015 FCA 664 and *Garrett v Commissioner of Taxation* 2015 FCA 665 the question arises as to whether her Honour was correct at para 17.2 of her reasons in FCA 664 which set out as follows;

17.12 Proposed ground 26 does not arise because this Court does not have jurisdiction under the Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006 (Vic). Further, the parts of Schedule 2 of the Australian Human Rights Commission Act 1986 (Cth) (previously the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission Act 1986 (Cth)) on which Mr Garrett relies are articles of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights that have not been enacted as part of Australia's domestic law.

Further the question arises as to whether the conduct of the Crown in matters related to me are evidence of offences to the Public Interest and a breach of the Public Trust.

In all other respect all rights are reserved

Andrew Garrett

Chief Executive Officer/ Winemaker

The Andrew Garrett Group of Companies (TAGGC)

www.oenoviva.com

www.dynamic-cws.com.au

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



**Melbourne
Minh**

Level 3, 2 Drewery Place
140 Nguyen Van Thu Street,
Melbourne, Victoria, 3000
HCM, Vietnam

Hobart

87-89 Cove Hill Road,
Bridgewater, TAS, 7030

Hong Kong

"The Desk" 511 Queens Road West,,
Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong

Ho Chi

Suite 103,
District 1,

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542
E: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au

The Communication may contain copyright material of The Andrew Garrett Group ("TAGG") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of TAGG. TAGG does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au [<mailto:andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au>]
Sent: Sunday, 2 April 2017 11:30 AM
To: 'Whitton, Martine (Sen G. Brandis)'
Cc: 'attorney@ag.gov.au'; senator.brandis@aph.gov.au
Subject: RE: Notice to Admit Facts dated 1st July 2016

The Commonwealth Attorney General

Dear Martine

Thankyou for your email dated 20th March 2017 which I take to be acknowledgement of service on the Commonwealth Attorney General of all my communiques including those set out in my email dated 19th March 2017.

I note that it is not particular relevant where you are engaged as an employee by the Commonwealth Attorney General the Common Law sets out that "Notice to Agent (employee) is notice to Principle". Should you feel that there is another step involved in fulfilling the constitutional obligations of the Commonwealth AG then by all means forward those communiques to the relevant personnel; this is not a matter that is in my control.

The common law also sets out that on the expiry of 14 days from the date of service of the Notice to Admit the Commonwealth AG is deemed to have admitted the facts set out therein in which regard the relevant rule under the Federal Court Rules is FCR 22.04.

Similarly following admissions at common law I am entitled to pursue judgment in which regard the relevant rule under the Federal Court Rules is FCR 22.07.

Best Regards

Andrew Garrett

Chief Executive Officer/ Winemaker

The Andrew Garrett Group of Companies (TAGGC)

www.oenoviva.com
www.dynamic-cws.com.au

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



**Melbourne
Minh**

Level 3, 2 Drewery Place
140 Nguyen Van Thu Street,
Melbourne, Victoria, 3000
HCM, Vietnam

Hobart

87-89 Cove Hill Road,
Bridgewater, TAS, 7030

Hong Kong

"The Desk" 511 Queens Road West,,
Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong

Ho Chi

Suite 103,
District 1,

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542
E: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au

The Communication may contain copyright material of The Andrew Garrett Group ("TAGG") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of TAGG. TAGG does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: Whitton, Martine (Sen G. Brandis) [<mailto:Martine.Whitton@aph.gov.au>]
Sent: Monday, 20 March 2017 1:00 PM
To: 'andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au'
Subject: RE: Notice to Admit Facts dated 1st July 2016

Dear Mr Garrett,

I have changed roles within the Attorney-General's office.

All further correspondence should be directed to attorney@ag.gov.au

Kind regards,

Martine

Martine Whitton | Diary Manager

Senator The Hon George Brandis QC
Attorney-General
Leader of the Government in the Senate

T: 07 3001 8180 (BNE) 02 6277 7300 (CBR)

From: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au [<mailto:andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au>]
Sent: Sunday, 19 March 2017 1:56 PM
To: Whitton, Martine (Sen G. Brandis)
Cc: Brandis, George (Senator)
Subject: Notice to Admit Facts dated 1st July 2016
Importance: High

Dear Martine

Further to my communique dated 10th March 2017 I advise the relevant Notice to Admit Facts referred to therein ought be that Notice dated 1st July 2016 NOT 1st July 2017

In accordance with the provisions of the Common Law you admit service has been affected on the Attorney Generals of the Commonwealth, the States and the Territories of the aforementioned Notice to Admit Facts and every subsequent and prior Notices to Admit Facts and claims for compensation including those dated (but not limited to)

1. 20th June 2016
2. 21st June 2016
3. 25th June 2016
4. 1st July 2016
5. 7th July 2016
6. 14th July 2016
7. 22nd July 2016
8. 15th August 2016
9. 17th August 2016
10. 18th August 2016
11. 26th August 2016
12. 20th August 2016
13. 30th August 2016
14. 10th October 2016
15. 20th October 2016
16. 8th February 2017

Andrew Garrett

Chief Executive Officer/ Winemaker

The Andrew Garrett Group of Companies (TAGGC)

www.oenoviva.com

www.dynamic-cws.com.au

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



**Melbourne
Minh**

Level 3, 2 Drewery Place
140 Nguyen Van Thu Street,
Melbourne, Victoria, 3000
HCM, Vietnam

Hobart

87-89 Cove Hill Road,
Bridgewater, TAS, 7030

Hong Kong

"The Desk" 511 Queens Road West,,
Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong

Ho Chi

Suite 103,
District 1,

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542
E: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au

The Communication may contain copyright material of The Andrew Garrett Group ("TAGG") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of TAGG. TAGG does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: Andrew Garrett [<mailto:andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au>]

Sent: Friday, 1 July 2016 12:57 PM

To: senator.brandis@aph.gov.au; office@smith.minister.nsw.gov.au; office@upton.minister.nsw.gov.au; agd@agd.sa.gov.au; Don.Mackintosh@sa.gov.au; vanessa.goodwin@parliament.tas.gov.au; NTDCS.WebAdministrator@nt.gov.au; martin.pakula@parliament.vic.gov.au; DECD:Minister; DTF:Minister Koutsantonis' Office; minister.mischin@dpc.wa.gov.au; attorney@ministerial.qld.gov.au; CourtsTribunalsandJustice@ag.gov.au; CORBELL@act.gov.au; rmusolino@hcourt.gov.au

Subject: Notices under s78 B of the Judiciary Act & Notice to admit facts

Importance: High

NOTICE TO ADMIT

The Attorneys General of the Commonwealth the States and the Territories,

Dear Mesdames et Messieurs

Between 2006 and today's date I have filed and served a number of Notices of Constitutional Matters in various proceedings.

Without exception the judges involved have ignored those notices with perhaps the sole exception of Justice Kenny in VID 129 of 2015.

The email below and the admissions contained therein and in the annexures attached to this communique speak for themselves and quantify in a liquidated form some (but not all) loss cost and damages arising in proceedings that have been the subject of the Notices under s78B

Again without exception all judgments made in proceedings related to me have been made in circumstances of a fraud on the court involved by the court itself and the parties other than me or entities related to me.

The issues arising are serious and relate to whether the immunity and/or indemnities of members of the legislature, executive government, the Judiciary, advocates, solicitors and others in Territories States and the Commonwealth are void in circumstances of unlawful and/or invalid conduct.

You are the first officers of law under various Acts of the UK and the States and Territories and are personally civilly and criminally vicariously liable for the absence of the proper application of the principles of the Rule of Law and Separation of powers and the fundamental human right to remedy.

You admit that you are criminally and civilly liable for the liquidated damages expressed below and attached along with relevant indictable offences to be brought by me as a private prosecution in the High Court of Australia as the original and exclusive jurisdiction in which regard s80 of the Constitution must apply given the priority of criminal matters over civil.

You admit the indictable offences set out on the Charge Sheets and Informations (the Notices under s78B) are a fraud on the court in which regard the burden of proof is the civil burden, on the balance of probability.

You each hereby consent personally and on behalf of the Commonwealth, the States and Territories, all members of executive governments, all judicial officers. all members of legislatures and all officers of the Courts (State and Federal) to a registration of a security interest over each of the aforementioned in accordance with the provisions of *the Personal Property Security Act 2009* (Cth)

In circumstances where the liquidated damages set out are paid in full the security interests will not be discharged and you consent to the continuation of holding of those security interests by me in Trust for the benefit of the citizens of Australia from time to time. (Constructive Trust)

You confirm by your silence between 2006 and today's date to the Notices issued under s78B that this contract is bin ding on the parties set-out therein.

Andrew Garrett

Chief Executive Officer/ Winemaker

The Andrew Garrett Group of Companies (TAGGC)

www.oenoviva.com

www.dynamic-cws.com.au

I have only specified some aspects of my claims in the attached materials and reserve my rights and those of entities related to me.

You consent to the registration of a security interest under the provisions of the Personal Property Security Act 2009 (Cth) over you all personally, the institutions of executive government and the property of the State.

Further to my applications made in VID 949 of 2015 that sought to join you to the proceeding I advise that the reasons of Justice Middleton published the 11th May 2016 will be the subject of Summons to Show Cause in which regard it will be necessary to name you as interested parties.

Please note the admissions of Chief Justice Kourakis set out below.

Andrew Garrett

Chief Executive Officer/ Winemaker

The Andrew Garrett Group of Companies (TAGGC)

www.oenoviva.com

www.dynamic-cws.com.au

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



Melbourne

Level 1, 2 Drewery Place
Melbourne, Vic, 3000

Adelaide

Level 1, 82 Flinders Street,
Adelaide, SA, 5000.

M: +61 424 324 135

F: +61 (0) 8 8648 0656

E: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au

The Communication may contain copyright material of The Andrew Garrett Group ("TAGG") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of TAGG. TAGG does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: Andrew Garrett [<mailto:andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au>]
Sent: 01 July 2016 11:29
To: chambers.chiefjustice@courts.sa.au
Cc: Don.Mackintosh@sa.gov.au; DPC:Webmaster (DPCWebmaster@sa.gov.au); Ian.Gant@sa.gov.au; pobox111mardensa5070@gmail.com
Subject: Compensation Applications dated 26th June 2016 & SCCIV-2016-524 Natale Lauro v Antoneo Tropeano & s78B Notice
Importance: High

T
h
e

S
u
p
r
e
m
e

C
o
u
r
t

o
f

S
o
u
t
h

A
u
s
t
r
a
l
i
a

T
h
e

A
t
t
o
r
n
e
y

G
e
n
e
r
a
l

o
f

S
o
u
t
h

A
u
s
t
r
a
l
i
a

T
h
e

P
r
e
m
i
e
r

o
f

S
o
u
t
h

A

u
s
t
r
a
l
i
a

Attn Chief Justice Kourakis
MP

Attn John Rau,
Attn Jay Wetherill, MP

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your time during the course of hearing of the Notice of Appeal of the decisions of the District Court arising out of DCCIV-2014-1499.

As you know that Notice of Appeal was an appeal of three decisions in the court below;

1. Decision of Blomberg J dated 15th December 2015
2. Decision of Muecke CJ 11th March 2016
3. Decision of Beazley J 27th August 2010

I noticed that I had neglected to include the appeal of the decision dated 15 December 2015 in the Notice of Appeal and brought that appeal to your Honours attention yesterday in my capacity as Intervener.

I understand from my appearance before your honour that you found I was not an appropriate litigation guardian for Natale Lauro and made an order removing me in which regard I made oral application for leave to appeal to the Full Bench which was rejected. It is also my understanding that Your Honour rejected my appeal from the decision of Blomberg J dated 15th December 2015 and also rejected my application for leave to appeal to the Full Bench in which regard I confirm I have exhausted all my rights in the Supreme Court.

I do not recall your Honours reasons as your honour delivered those reasons while I was still making submissions.

S78B of the Judiciary Act 1903 (Cth)

On the 29th June 2016 I served on your Honour and the Attorneys General of the Commonwealth, the States and Territories by email a copy of a Notice of Constitutional Matter issued under the aforementioned section (copy attached). A hard copy was served on registry at 9.45 am however I did not receive a copy of the stamped Notice from registry reflecting similar conduct in SCCIV-2004-127 in May 2006.

I now understand better that the conduct of the court is NOT in fact to support the Constitutional rights of citizens but is rather to oppress those rights consistent with the Notices under s78B

served in DCCIV-2015-0248 & SCCIV-2014-1393 both dated 11th November 2015 that were stamped Received NOT Filed

S17 of the Public Sector (Honesty and Accountability) Act 1995 (SA)

My interlocutory application dated 29th June 2016 and affidavit dated 27th June 2016 sought your honour to review a number of judicial decisions under Supreme Court Rule 199 including the delegations of the LPCC and the actions authorising those delegations under s17.

Your Honour dismissed my applications dated 29th and 27th June 2016 and in particular refused my application to His Honour to review *the Legal Practitioners (Miscellaneous Amendments) Bill 2016 (UN)*

Subsequently your honour dismissed my applications for leave to appeal those decisions.

I request your reasons in respect to all of the aforementioned judicial decisions.

Compensation Applications

On the 26th June 2016 I made applications for compensation in favour of the appellant and the applicant to intervene in 524 to you as the person responsible for the administration of Justice in the Supreme Court of South Australia in accordance with s9(A)(2) of *the Supreme Court Act 1935(SA)*

I understand from the submissions of Eric Lauro as the applicant to intervene in 524 that in fact you quantified the cost loss and damage in respect to Natale Lauro's claims against Antonio Tropeano that is clearly his right of set off against the warrants on foot at the moment. Without the files in the possession and control of Ms Connolly I am unable to quantify the extent of the claim in favour of Mr Lauro against Mr Tropeano.

You did not make orders that Ms Connolly produce those files and thereby prejudiced the case of Mr Lauro

I can however quantify some aspects of the claims I make against the Supreme Court and you personally which you will note were set out in some detail in my application and annexures.

I have now had the opportunity to calculate the loss cost and damage flowing from admissions made by Treasury Wine Estates Vintners Limited on the 29th May 2016 in VID 404 of 2016 and applied the responsibility of the State to indemnify the Registrar General and the Registrar of Deeds against claims and in particular under s8 of the Registration of Deeds Act.

I note that the Real Property Act 1886 was amended on the 20th August 2006 following the transfer of title and issuing of new certificates of title of the property known as Springwood Park in 2006, this reflects the propensity of the Labour Government to amend legislation such as that reflected in *the Legal Practitioners (Miscellaneous Amendments) Bill 2016 (UN)*

The constitutional Matters arising are serious.

Upon application of s8 of the Registration of Deeds act to the admissions made by Treasury Wine Estates Vintners Limited and now the National Australia Bank Limited

I have applied to remove VID 404 and VID 423 of 2016 to the High Court where they have been given action numbers A 30 and A31 of 2016.

You have consented to my registration of a security interest on the PPSR over you personally, each of your members of the judiciary and over the Supreme Court of South Australia.

The quantum of that security is \$3, 475,595,327,841.50 and is calculated as per the attached spreadsheets and the attached Notices to Admit Facts.

If you see any error in my calculations please feel free to contact me.

I also direct your attention to the emails attached to the Premier, the Attorney general and others.

Immunity from Prosecution

You will note that amongst the matters arising in your court asserted by the Appellant is that Judicial, Advocates , Solicitors and Executive Government immunity and indemnity from prosecution must be void in circumstances of unlawful and/or invalid conduct.

In the absence of payment of my claim I will of course be forced to begin the collections process and issue a creditors petition against you on the basis of this your admission by silence to the amount specified above.

With Respect.

Andrew Garrett

Chief Executive Officer/ Winemaker

The Andrew Garrett Group of Companies (TAGGC)

www.oenoviva.com

www.dynamic-cws.com.au

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a





Melbourne
Level 1, 2 Drewery Place
Melbourne, Vic, 3000

Adelaide
Level 1, 82 Flinders Street,
Adelaide, SA, 5000.

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 8 8648 0656
E: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au

The Communication may contain copyright material of The Andrew Garrett Group ("TAGG") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of TAGG. TAGG does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

From: Andrew Garrett [<mailto:andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au>]
Sent: 30 June 2016 19:20
To: matthew.critchley@corrs.com.au; Whittle, Matthew (Matthew.Whittle@allens.com.au); chris.jordan@ato.gov.au; Vincent.Tavolaro@ags.gov.au; Justice Beach (Associate.BeachJ@fedcourt.gov.au)
Cc: Ben Winford (Ben.Winford@corrs.com.au)
Subject: VID 404 of 2016 and VID 423 of 2016/ HCA A30 and HCA A31 of 2016/ AGFT 4 Audit
Importance: High

Corrs Chambers Westgarth
Solicitors
Attn Matthew Critchley
Whittle

Allens
The Federal Court of Australia
Attn Matthew
Attn Justice Beach

Dear Matthews and Justice Beach

Cc Trevor Coulter & Chris Jordan, Australian Taxation Office

Please note attached a copy of correspondence from the solicitor for Stephen James Duncan that was in evidence in SASCCIV-2004-127 as FDN 138b on the court file.

No doubt, both Mr Duncan and Mr Max held negotiations and received payments from both NAB and Treasury Wine Estates Vintners Limited and/or forgiveness of debt which in the case of Mr Macks was \$19,000,000.00 then owed to Foster's Brewing Group by him pursuant to court orders made in the Supreme Court of Queensland

I have copied the Commissioner of Taxation and Mr Trevor Coulter on this communique as you will note that it relates to the queries I have raised and the request for a Private Binding Ruling in respect to taxation implications on Liquidated Damages in respect of a current audit of the Trustee of the Andrew Garrett Family Trust No 4.

As you are aware I have made application to remove VID 404 and VID 423 of 2016 which are now the subject of applications for leave to file and serve the applications to remove in the aforementioned proceedings.

Given my experience in the courts below, I anticipate similar issues in the High Court of Australia consequently I have now made complaint to the relevant committees in respect to Rule of Law and Separation of Powers of the Secretariat of the Commonwealth of Nations under the Charter of the Commonwealth of Nations.

Given the Summons to Show Cause why the judgements of Beach J in VID 730, VID 731 and VID 732 of 2014 have not yet been issued by the High Court of Australia despite having been in the possession and control of the High Court Registry since 20th April 2015, I have foreshadowed to that court that two further Summons to Show Cause will now be applied for in respect to the Judgments of Beach delivered in VID 404 and VID 423 of 2016.

I can also confirm that a proceeding also in the original and exclusive jurisdiction will be lodged for filing in the High Court naming the persons listed in my interlocutory application dated 8th February 2016 in VID 949 of 2015 and subsequent applications that were not filed in that proceeding.

The issues arising will also mean an application to the Privy Council as the original jurisdiction naming the Commonwealth of Australia and your respective clients as parties.

Please confirm that you are instructed to accept service of the aforementioned Summons to Show Cause, originating process in the High Court of Australia and Application in the Privy Council.

As you know I served Notices to Admit Facts in VID 404 of 2016 dated 29th May 2016 please note the attached spreadsheets reflecting those admissions

I have recalculated the quantum of loss cost and damage arising on the basis of application of s8 of the Registration of Deeds Act as at 1st July 2016 (see attached), which I claim all parties in VID 404 and VID 423 are jointly criminally and civilly vicariously liable for that Quantum.

1. Re OenoViva IP; \$3,471,018,099,753.90
2. Re Notices to Admit dated 29th May 2016; \$4,577,228,087.60

TOTAL \$3, 475,595,327,841.50

As with all things I continue to reserve all my rights and the entities related to me.

At first blush and on the basis of 30% Corporate Tax Rate it would appear that entities related to me in respect to the liquidated damages as attached that I and/or the Corporate Trustees of Trusts owe the Commissioner a tax payment of \$1,042,678,598,352.45.

Of Course, I have made an initial payment of \$10,000,000,000.00 in this regard on the basis that the Trustee of the Andrew Garrett Family Trust No 4 is liable for that tax payment and will now draw a payment for the Balance as it will need to be included in my YEJ 2016 accounts for that entity.

I note that the findings of Beach J the my submissions on the law in respect to Bills of Exchange are misconceived are in themselves misconceived.

I hereby request reasons in respect to that bold statement of his honor by way of this communique

Andrew Garrett

Chief Executive Officer/ Winemaker

The Andrew Garrett Group of Companies (TAGGC)

www.oenoviva.com
www.dynamic-cws.com.au

All Rights Reserved, UCC 1-308a



Melbourne
Level 1, 2 Drewery Place
Melbourne, Vic, 3000

Adelaide
Level 1, 82 Flinders Street,
Adelaide, SA, 5000.

M: +61 424 324 135
F: +61 (0) 8 8648 0656
E: andrew.garrett@taggc.com.au

The Communication may contain copyright material of The Andrew Garrett Group ("TAGG") or any of its related entities or of third parties. If you are not the intended recipient of the Communication please contact the sender immediately by return email, delete the Communication and do not read, copy, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the Communication. Any views expressed in the Communication are those of the individual sender only, unless expressly stated to be those of TAGG. TAGG does not guarantee the integrity of the Communication nor that it is free of errors, viruses or interference.

This e-mail message (along with any attachments) is intended only for the named addressee and could contain information that is confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any dissemination, copying or use of any of the information is prohibited. Please notify us immediately by return e-mail if you are not the intended recipient and delete all copies of the original message and attachments.

This footnote also confirms that this message has been checked for computer viruses.



ANNEXURE 9

OENOVIVA

ENDURING POWER OF ATTORNEY

BY THIS DEED, ANDREW MORTON GARRETT (Donor) of 10/15 Hunter Street, Hobart Tasmania, 7000 HEREBY APPOINTS ALI LABABIDI-SALMAJI (ALI) (Donee) holder of Syrian Passport Number N009176866 to be my attorney and to do on my behalf anything that I may lawfully authorise my Attorney to do in respect to Negotiable Instruments Drawn against the Balance Sheet of OenoViva Capital Resources and in particular International Bills of Exchange Serial Numbers: 60.00039/16; 61.00063/16 & 61.00064/17, subject only to written instructions from the Donor to the Donee from time to time including (but not limited to) application of the Signature of the Donee as that signature of the Donor.

AND BY THIS DEED the donor agrees to ratify whatever the attorney shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue of this Deed and the attorney shall be entitled to assume the validity of this power of attorney until otherwise advised.

I DECLARE that this is an Enduring Power of Attorney and will continue to operate and have full force and effect notwithstanding that I may subsequently become incapable, unless otherwise terminated in writing.

EXECUTED AS A DEED THIS WEDNESDAY, 2 MAY 2018

SIGNED SEALED & DELIVERED)
BY ANDREW MORTON GARRETT)
IN THE PRESENCE OF THE FOLLOWING TWO WITNESSES:

Handwritten signature of Andrew Morton Garrett.



Witness One: TRACEY LEE HURD, LEGAL ASSISTANT, 9/85 MACQUARIE ST, HOBART, TAS, 7000

Witness Two: Alexandra Louise Saunders, Legal Assistant, 9/85 Macquarie Street, Hobart TAS 7000

I, ALI LABABIDI-SALMAJI, the person appointed to be the Donee of the power of attorney created by the instrument on which this acceptance is endorsed accept the appointment, and acknowledge (a) that the power of attorney is an enduring power of attorney and will continue in force notwithstanding the subsequent legal incapacity of the donor; (b) that I will, by accepting this power of attorney, be subject to the provisions of all relevant laws that govern the administration of the affairs of an incapacitated person.

Signed [Signature] Date: [Blank]
[ATTORNEY]





OENOVIVA
CERTIFICATION OF AUTHENTICITY
COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

DATE: WEDNESDAY, 2 MAY 2018

TO: WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

RE: ENDURING POWER OF ATTORNEY GRANTED BY OENOVIVA CAPITAL RESOURCES (DONOR)
TO ALI LABABIDI-SALMAJI (DONEE)

We, OenoViva Capital Resources ABN: 42 388 204 496, herewith certify with full responsibility the attached Enduring Power of Attorney executed between Andrew Morton Garrett (DONOR) and ALI LABABIDI-SALMAJI (DONEE), issued this day pursuant to the Deed of Settlement of the Andrew Garrett Family Trust No 4 dated 1st August 2008, our Banking Indenture as a Private Merchant and Investment Bank trading as OenoViva Capital Resources and Dynamic Capital Resources and business activities as the Global Licensor of Intellectual Property known as OenoViva Business Systems and OenoViva Hand Crafting trading as OenoViva (Global)

an furthermore, we certify that the Passport scans annexed hereto are those of the Donor and the Donee and that:

- ❖ Negotiable Instruments Drawn against the Credit Value of the Balance Sheet of OenoViva Capital Resources ABN 42 388 204 496 and in particular International Bills of Exchange Serial Numbers; 60.00039/16; 61.00063/16 & 61.00064/1 pursuant to the Banking Act 1959 (AU), the Banking Regulations 1966 (AU) the Bills of Exchange Act 1909 (AU), the Payment Systems Regulation Act 1998 (AU) and the UNCITRAL Convention – 1990 UNITED NATIONS may be executed at the Direction by the Donee at the written direction of the Donor with full legal effect as if the Donor had personally executed the International Bills of Exchange issued, and
- ❖ Intellectual property licenses related to OenoViva Business Systems and OenoViva Hand Crafting may be executed by the Donee on behalf of the Donor with full legal effect.

SIGNED AND SEALED

AUTHORISED SIGNATORY)
ANDREW MORTON GARRETT)
MANAGING TRUSTEE)



OenoViva Capital Resources ABN 42 388 204 496: A Discretionary Trust settled under the Common Law, the Law of the Commonwealth of Australia and the Law of South Australia trading as OenoViva (Global) as Licensor of Intellectual Property and as a Private Merchant Investment Bank.

- ❖ 10/15 Hunter Street, Hobart, Tasmania, 7000 Phone: +61 (0) 424 324 135
- ❖ Level 2/3 Brewery Place, Melbourne, Victoria, 3000
- ❖ "The Desk" 511 Queens Road West, Shek Tong Tsui, Hong Kong

andrew.garrett@oenoviva.com



OENOVIVA

DONEE OF ENDURING POWER OF ATTORNEY ANNEXED HERETO

DATED Wednesday, 2 May 2018

رقم الجواز
 N 009176866
 وزارة الداخلية - إدارة الهجرة والجوازات
 MINISTRY OF INTERIOR - DEPARTMENT OF IMMIGRATION AND PASSPORTS
 MINISTERE DE L'INTERIEUR - DEPARTEMENT DE L'IMMIGRATION ET DES PASSEPORTS

يطلب من موظفي حكومة الجمهورية العربية السورية ومن مثلها في الخارج ألا يسميوا
 كل من سلطة أخرى تعمل باسم الحكومة العربية السورية ومن السلطات المختصة أن تسمح خاتم
 قنا الجواز بحرية المرور وأن تقدم له كل ما يحتاج إليه من مساعدة ورعاية.

Officials of the Syrian Arab Republic and the diplomatic and consular
 authorities and any other authority acting on behalf of the Syrian Arab
 Government together with the relevant foreign authorities are kindly requested
 to let this passport holder pass freely and to give him the assistance and
 protection he may need.

Les fonctionnaires du Gouvernement de la République Arabe Syrienne
 et les représentants à l'étranger et toute autre autorité agissant pour le
 Gouvernement Arabe Syrien ainsi que les autorités étrangères compétentes et
 pris de laisser librement passer le titulaire de ce passeport et de lui
 toute aide et protection dont il peut avoir besoin.

Director of Department
 of Immigration and
 Passports
 or the General Consul

مديرية الهجرة والجوازات
 Directeur du Département
 de l'immigration et des
 Passports
 ou le Consul Général

المعيد الجواز كالمهمل الأصم
 رئيس لفرع الهجرة والجوازات في دمشق

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC
 REPUBLIQUE ARABE SYRIENNE
 الجمهورية العربية السورية

PASSPORT جواز سفر
 PASSEPORT

Type/الرمز: P Country code/رمز البلد: SYR

Issue no./N délivrance: 002-14-L072819 رقم الإصدار:

Given Name/Prénom: ALI علي الاسم:

Surname/Nom: LABABIDI-SALMAJI لهبدي منقب سلمه جي النسبة:

Father Name/Nom du père: ABDULKADER عبد القادر اسم الأب:

Mother Name/Nom de la mère: ADAWIE عادية اسم الأم:

Birth Date/Date de naissance: 20/03/1955 تاريخ الولادة:

Birth Place/Lieu de naissance: ALEPPO مكان الولادة: حلب

Sex/Sexe: M الجنس:

PNSYRIARABIDT<SALMAIT<AIT



OENOVIVA

WITNESS & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

AT: Hobart, Tasmania Australia On this Wednesday, 2 May 2018 before me Dayne Emil Johnson **Notary Public**, the person named hereto did personally appear: Andrew Morton Garrett, verified to me as the Managing Trustee on behalf of OenoViva Capital Resources ABN: 42388 204 496, which registration and existence was proved to me and on the basis of satisfactory evidence, to be the sovereign citizen and/or person whose name is subscribed to be within the Certification hereto and the Enduring Power of Attorney dated 2 May 2018 annexed hereto and stated to me that he signed in his authorised capacity for the entity upon which he executed the aforementioned Enduring Power of Attorney. I take no responsibility for the contents or efficacy of the document in any jurisdiction.

WITNESSED BY MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL HERETO:

Dayne Emil Johnson,
Notary Public
Level 9/85 Macquarie Street
Hobart, Tasmania, Australia



SIGNATURE: 

MY COMMISSION: TERM OF MY LIFE

THIS DOCUMENT AND THE DOCUMENTS ATTACHED HERETO ARE INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE ONLY. THEY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AUTHORIZED AGENT THEREOF, YOU ARE NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION REGARDING THESE DOCUMENTS IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.



APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

- 1. Country **Australia**
- 2. This public document has been signed by **Dayne Emil Johnson**
- 3. acting in the capacity of **Notary Public**
- 4. bears the seal/stamp of **Dayne Emil Johnson, Notary Public**
- 5. at **Hobart**
- 6. **the 3rd day of May, 2018**
- 7. by **Heath Ware**
- 8. No. **HFAF-A7-1322**
- 9. Seal/Stamp
- 10. Signature

This Apostille only certifies the authenticity of the signature (where applicable) and the capacity of the person who has signed the public document, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp which the public document bears. This Apostille does not certify the content of the document for which it was issued. This Apostille can be verified at <https://orao.dfat.gov.au/pages/verifyapostille.aspx>



ANNEXURE 10

Monday, 6 May 2019

IPICO,
Attn: Mr. Ali Lababidi,
P.O Box 24767
Riyadh P.C 11456
Saudi Arabia
Email: alilababidi2009@hotmail.com



RE: INVESTOR TRANSACTION CODE: OVCR/POA/MAY2018
Extension of Maturity Dates and Identification re;

OenoViva Capital Resources

OenoViva Global

ABN 42 388 204 496

Level 6, Reserve Bank Building,

111 Macquarie Street,

Hobart, 7000, Tasmania

Phone: +61 1300 OENOVIVA

Fax: +61 (0) 3 8677 6542

Email: admin@oenoviva-capital-resources.com

www.oenoviva.com

www.oenoviva-capital-resources.com

1. IBOE: ISIN: AU0000023194/CFI: DCZSFB/
FISN: OENOVIVA/BEX 20221001 GTD FM BR/
SN; 60.00039/16 For Effect 31st August 2017
2. IBOE: ISIN: AU0000023194/CFI: DCZSFB/
FISN: OENOVIVA/BEX 20221001 GTD FM BR/
APOSTILLE NO: HFAF-JS-937/ **SN; 1.00063/17**
For Effect 9th May 2019
3. IBOE: ISIN: AU0000023194/CFI: DCZSFB/
FISN: OENOVIVA/BEX 20221001 GTD FM BR/
APOSTILLE NO: HFAF-AF-1334/ **SN; 61.00064/17**
For Effect 9th May 2022

**LETTER OF CONFIRMATION OF EXTENSION OF MATURITY DATES AND
ISSUANCE OF INTERNATIONAL SECURITY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (ISIN):
AU0000023194/ CLASS OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION (CFI):
DCZSFB/FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT SHORT NAME (FISN): OENOVIVA/BEX
20221001 GTD FM BR TO OENOVIVA CAPITAL RESOURCES BY THE
AUSTRALIAN STOCK EXCHANGE.**

Dear Sir,

I refer to your appointment as Power of Attorney (Donee) for me (Donor) dated 2nd May 2018 which power was specifically limited to acting in that capacity in accordance with my Letters of Instruction in writing; further to my correspondence set out in my letters and those of my solicitors dated 07/05/2018, 10/05/2018, 13/05/2018, 17/05/2018, 05/07/2018, 07/07/2018, 11/07/2018, 13/07/2018, 17/07/2018, 19/07/2018, 21/07/2018, 23/07/2018, 21/09/2018, 04/12/2018 and otherwise in text and email following deposit and issuance of SKR.

In order to be ISO 2000 Compliant within the meaning of the requirements of Association of National Numbering Agencies (ANNA), OenoViva Capital Resources (OVCR) applied to the Australian Stock Exchange (ASX) for registration and issuance of ISIN, FISN & CFI, subsequently the ASX issued OVCR the Identification details as set out above which incorporates a Maturity Date of the 1st October 2022; these identification details enable the instruments the subject of your Power of Attorney to be traded on Australian & International Exchanges if so required.

The ISIN may be validated by reference to the ISINs published by the ASX on its website, neither the FISN nor the CFI are published by the ASX. Currently, Instruments issued by OVCR are not quoted/listed on the ASX for trading but may be listed on that exchange at some future date.

